WikipediA

Allopathic medicine

Allopathic medicine, or allopathy, is an archaic term used to define <u>science-based</u>, <u>modern medicine</u>.^{[1][2]} There are regional variations in usage of the term. In the United States, the term is used to contrast with <u>osteopathic medicine</u>, especially in the field of medical education.

The terms were coined in 1810 by the inventor of <u>homeopathy</u>, <u>Samuel Hahnemann</u>.^[3] It was originally used by 19th-century homeopaths as a derogatory term for <u>heroic medicine</u>, the traditional European medicine of the time and a precursor to modern medicine, that did not rely on <u>evidence of effectiveness</u>. Heroic medicine was based on the belief that disease is caused by imbalance among the four "<u>humours</u>" (blood, phlegm, yellow bile, and black bile) and sought to treat disease symptoms by correcting that imbalance, using "harsh and abusive" methods to induce symptoms seen as opposite to those of diseases [4] rather than treating their underlying causes: disease was caused by an excess of one humour and thus would be treated with its "opposite".^[5]

Among homeopaths and other alternative medicine advocates, "allopathic medicine" now refers to "the broad category of medical practice that is sometimes called Western medicine, <u>biomedicine</u>, <u>evidence-based medicine</u>, or modern medicine."^[6] This description continued to be used to describe anything that was not homeopathy.^[5] The meaning implied by the label has never been accepted by conventional medicine and is still considered pejorative by some.^[7] William Jarvis, an expert on alternative medicine and public health,^[8] states that "although many modern therapies can be construed to conform to an allopathic rationale (e.g., using a laxative to relieve constipation), standard medicine has never paid allegiance to an allopathic principle" and that the label "allopath" was "considered highly derisive by regular medicine."^[9] Most modern science-based medical treatments (antibiotics, vaccines, and chemotherapeutics, for example) do not fit Samuel Hahnemann's definition of allopathy, as they seek to prevent illness, or alleviate an illness by eliminating its cause.^{[10][11]}

| Contents | |
|----------------|--|
| Etymology | |
| History | |
| Current | |
| See also | |
| References | |
| External links | |

Etymology

The terms "allopathic medicine" and "allopathy" are drawn from the <u>Greek</u> prefix $\delta \lambda \delta \sigma$, $\delta llos$, "other," "different" + the suffix $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \sigma \varsigma$, $p \dot{\alpha} thos$, "suffering".

History

The practice of medicine in both Europe and North America during the early 19th century is sometimes referred to as <u>heroic medicine</u> because of the extreme measures (such as <u>bloodletting</u>) sometimes employed in an effort to treat diseases.^[12] The term *allopath* was used by Hahnemann and other early homeopaths to highlight the difference they perceived between homeopathy and the "conventional" heroic medicine of their time. With the term allopathy (meaning "other than the disease"), Hahnemann intended to point out how physicians with conventional training employed therapeutic approaches that, in his view, merely treated symptoms and failed to address the disharmony produced by underlying disease. Homeopaths saw such <u>symptomatic treatments</u> as "opposites treating opposites" and believed these methods were harmful to patients.^[3]

Practitioners of alternative medicine have used the term "allopathic medicine" to refer to the practice of conventional medicine in both Europe and the United States since the 19th century. In that century, the term allopath was used most often as a derogatory name for the practitioners of heroic medicine, $\frac{[13][14]}{13}$ a precursor to modern medicine that itself did not rely on evidence of effectiveness.

James Whorton discusses this historical pejorative usage:

One form of verbal warfare used in retaliation by irregulars was the word "allopathy". ..."Allopathy" and "allopathic" were liberally employed as pejoratives by all irregular physicians of the nineteenth century, and the terms were considered highly offensive by those at whom they were directed. The generally uncomplaining acceptance of [the term] "allopathic medicine" by today's physicians is an indication of both a lack of awareness of the term's historical use and the recent thawing of relations between irregulars and allopaths.^[15]

The controversy surrounding the term can be traced to its original usage during a heated 19th-century debate between practitioners of homeopathy and those they derisively referred to as "allopaths." [16]

Hahnemann used "allopathy" to refer to what he saw as a system of medicine that combats disease by using remedies that produce effects in a healthy subject that are different (hence Greek root *allo-* "different") from the effects produced by the disease to be treated. The distinction comes from the use in homeopathy of substances that are meant to cause similar effects as the symptoms of a disease to treat patients (*homeo-*

3/12/22, 3:19 AM

meaning similar).

As used by homeopaths, the term *allopathy* has always referred to the principle of treating disease by administering substances that produce other symptoms (when given to a healthy human) than the symptoms produced by a disease. For example, part of an allopathic treatment for <u>fever</u> may include the use of a drug which reduces the fever, while also including a drug (such as an <u>antibiotic</u>) that attacks the cause of the fever (such as a bacterial infection). A homeopathic treatment for fever, by contrast, is one that uses a diluted dosage of a substance that in an undiluted form would induce fever in a healthy person. These preparations are typically diluted so heavily that they no longer contain any actual molecules of the original substance. Hahnemann used this term to distinguish medicine as practiced in his time from his use of infinitesimally small (or nonexistent) doses of substances to treat the spiritual causes of illness.

The Companion Encyclopedia of the History of Medicine states that "[Hahnemann] gave an all-embracing name to regular practice, calling it 'allopathy'. This term, however imprecise, was employed by his followers and other unorthodox movements to identify the prevailing methods as constituting nothing more than a competing 'school' of medicine, however dominant in terms of number of practitioner proponents and patients". [17]

Contrary to the present usage, Hahnemann reserved the term "allopathic medicine" to the practice of treating diseases by means of drugs inducing symptoms unrelated (i.e., neither similar nor opposite) to those of the disease. He called the practice of treating diseases by means of drugs producing symptoms opposite to those of the patient "enantiopathic" (from the <u>Greek &vávtuos</u> (enántios), meaning "opposite") or "antipathic medicine".^[18] After Hahnemann's death in 1843, the term "enantiopathy" fell into disuse and the two concepts of allopathy and enantiopathy have been more or less unified. Both, however, indicate what Hahnemann thought about the medical practices of his time, rather than the ideas of the present. Conventional physicians of the 19th century had never assumed that the therapeutic effects of drugs were necessarily related to the symptoms they caused in the healthy (e.g. James Lind systematically tested several common substances and foods for their effect in treating scurvy in 1747 and discovered that lemon juice was specifically effective. He did not conduct any studies of these substances in healthy volunteers).

Current

The term is used in the modern era to differentiate between two types of US medical schools (both of which teach aspects of science-based medicine and neither of which teach homeopathy): Allopathic (granting the MD degree) and Osteopathic (granting the DO degree). [19][20]

As of 2004, use of the term remained common among homeopaths and had spread to other <u>alternative medicine</u> practices. The meaning implied by the label has never been accepted by conventional medicine and is still considered pejorative by some.^[7] William Jarvis, an expert on alternative medicine and public health,^[8] stated in 2008 that "although many modern therapies can be construed to conform to an allopathic rationale (e.g., using a laxative to relieve constipation), standard medicine has never paid allegiance to an allopathic principle" and that the label "allopath" was "considered highly derisive by regular medicine".^[9] Most modern science-based medical treatments (antibiotics, vaccines, and chemotherapeutics, for example) do not fit Samuel Hahnemann's definition of allopathy, as they seek to prevent illness, or remove the cause of an illness by acting on the cause of disease. [10][11]

See also

- Evidence-based medicine
- Conservation medicine
- Ethnomedicine

References

- Weatherall, Mark W. (1996-08-01). "Making Medicine Scientific: Empiricism, Rationality, and Quackery in mid-Victorian Britain". Social History of Medicine. 9 (2): 175–194. doi:10.1093/shm/9.2.175 (https://doi.org/10.1093%2Fshm%2F9.2.175). ISSN 0951-631X (http s://www.worldcat.org/issn/0951-631X). PMID 11613446 (https://pubm ed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11613446).
- "Definition allopathy" (http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.co m/allopathy). The Free Dictionary. Farlex. Retrieved 25 October 2013. Citing: Gale Encyclopedia of Medicine (2008) and Mosby's Medical Dictionary, 8th ed. (2009).
- 3. Whorton JC (2004). Oxford University Press US (ed.). Nature Cures: The History of Alternative Medicine in America (https://books.googl e.com/books?id=RU0DndWVSPoC&q=allopathy+allopathic+greek+ hahnemann&pg=PA18) (illustrated ed.). New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 18, 52. ISBN 978-0-19-517162-4.
- Gundling, Katherine E. (1998). "When Did I Become an 'Allopath'?". <u>Archives of Internal Medicine</u>. American Medical Association. 158 (20): 2185–2186. doi:10.1001/archinte.158.20.2185 (https://doi.org/1 0.1001%2Farchinte.158.20.2185). PMID 9818797 (https://pubmed.n cbi.nlm.nih.gov/9818797).

- Barrett, Stephen; London, William M.; Kroger, Manfred; Hall, Harriet; Baratz, Robert S. (2013). *Consumer health: a guide to intelligent decisions* (9th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. pp. 34–35, 134, 137.
 <u>ISBN 9780078028489</u>. <u>OCLC</u> <u>758098687</u> (https://www.worldcat.org/ oclc/758098687).
- 6. Xiaorui Zhang (2001). "Legal Status of Traditional Medicine and Complementary/Alternative Medicine: A Worldwide Review" (http://wh qlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO_EDM_TRM_2001.2.pdf) (PDF). Archived (https://web.archive.org/web/20070926083307/http://whqlib doc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO_EDM_TRM_2001.2.pdf) (PDF) from the original on 26 September 2007. Retrieved 2007-09-12.
- 7. Atwood KC (2004). "Naturopathy, pseudoscience, and medicine: myths and fallacies vs truth" (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/article s/PMC1140750). *Medscape General Medicine*. **6** (1): 33. PMC 1140750 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11407 50). PMID 15208545 (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15208545).
- 8. "Participants" (https://web.archive.org/web/20080420042924/http://w ww.pbs.org/kcet/closertotruth/participants/index3.html). *Closer to Truth*. Archived from the original (https://www.pbs.org/kcet/closertotrut h/participants/index3.html) on 20 April 2008. Retrieved 2008-03-22.
- 9. Jarvis, William T. (1996). "Misuse of the term "Allopathy" " (http://www. ncahf.org/articles/a-b/allopathy.html). National Council Against Health Fraud. Retrieved 2013-02-07.

- 10. Berkenwald, AD (1998). "In the name of medicine". *Annals of Internal Medicine*. **128** (3): 246–50. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-128-3-199802010-00023 (https://doi.org/10.7326%2F0003-4819-128-3-19 9802010-00023). S2CID 53089206 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:53089206).
- Federspil G; Presotto F; Vettor R (2003). "A critical overview of homeopathy" (https://web.archive.org/web/20031230014516/http://an nals.highwire.org/cgi/content/full/139/8/W-75). Annals of Internal Medicine. 139 (8): W-75. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-139-8-200310210-00026-w3 (https://doi.org/10.7326%2F0003-4819-139-8 -200310210-00026-w3). PMID 14568881 (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.ni h.gov/14568881). Archived from the original (http://annals.highwire.or g/cgi/content/full/139/8/W-75) on 2003-12-30. Retrieved 2010-12-30.
- 12. <u>Singh, Simon; Ernst, Edzard (2008)</u>. <u>Trick Or Treatment: The</u> <u>Undeniable Facts about Alternative Medicine (https://books.google.</u> <u>com/books?id=bZjlC2LELIIC&pg=PA108)</u>. W. W. Norton & Company. p. 108. <u>ISBN 978-0-393-06661-6</u>.
- 13. Bates, DG (September 2002). "Why Not Call Modern Medicine "Alternative"?". *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. **583** (1): 12–28.

doi:10.1177/000271620258300102 (https://doi.org/10.1177%2F000 271620258300102). PMID 11058987 (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/11058987). S2CID 145294892 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/C orpusID:145294892).

External links

• Wittionary definition of *allopathic* at Wiktionary

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Allopathic_medicine&oldid=1071505527"

This page was last edited on 13 February 2022, at 00:30 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 3.0; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

- 14. Cuellar NG (2006). <u>Conversations in complementary and alternative</u> medicine: insights and perspectives from leading practitioners (http s://books.google.com/books?id=Nmkm7zu7NDMC). Boston: Jones and Bartlett. p. 4. <u>ISBN 978-0-7637-3888-4</u>.
- 15. Whorton JC (4 Nov 2003). "Counterculture healing: A brief history of alternative medicine in America" (https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/fro ntline/shows/altmed/clash/history.html). WGBH Educational Foundation. Retrieved 25 Dec 2007.
- 16. Whorton, JC (2002). *Nature Cures: The History of Alternative Medicine in America* (https://archive.org/details/naturecureshisto00w hor). Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-517162-4.
- Bynum, W. F. (2013). <u>Companion Encyclopedia of the History of</u> <u>Medicine (https://books.google.com/books?id=gyidO-ZLdiYC&pg=P</u> A608). Routledge. p. 608. <u>ISBN 9781136110368</u>.
- 18. e.g., see <u>Organon, VI edition (https://books.google.com/books?id=n3</u> <u>YC435gKFIC&)</u>, paragraphs 54-56
- 19. "Two Kinds of Physicians Health Professions and Prelaw Center -Indiana University - University Division" (http://www.hpplc.indiana.edu/ medicine/med-res-twokinds.shtml). www.hpplc.indiana.edu. Retrieved 27 February 2019.
- 20. Prep, Veritas. "How to Decide Between an M.D. and a D.O." (https:// www.usnews.com/education/blogs/medical-school-admissions-docto r/2012/04/23/how-to-decide-between-an-md-and-a-do) US News and World Report. Retrieved 27 February 2019.

Allopathic medicine - Wikipedia